

New Results Posted for Gaming Processors Testing (10/12/18)

As you may well imagine, the last few days have been busy. I (and others at PT) have spent long hours finishing the supplemental tests, trying to keep up with the community's feedback, and responding to some of them. We would like to have responded as quickly as everyone requested, but there are way more of you than there are of us.

Intel requested that we test several CPUs to compare game performance. We tested various generations of Intel and AMD processors as listed in our original report. Based on community feedback, we have done additional testing.

Specific to AMD CPUs, we started the testing on Game Mode for AMD Ryzen™ Threadripper™ processors. Those results did indeed show Game Mode overall yielded the best gaming performance on Threadripper. For consistency, we then used Game Mode on all of the AMD processors. We have now added results from our testing of the AMD Ryzen™ 7 2700X in its default mode (i.e., Creator mode) as well. That mode overall yielded the best gaming performance on the 2700X. We apologize for not testing both modes in the initial report.

The updated report includes both modes. You can find the report and testing results [here](#).

Finally, I've left our earlier response below so you can find it easily.

Thanks for listening.

Bill Catchings, co-founder of Principled Technologies

From 10/10/18

Today, we have seen several reports that suggested Principled Technologies (PT) published misleading information in our recent study comparing Intel's gaming processors to AMD's. We apologize for our delay in responding, but it's been a busy day, and we wanted to be as thorough as possible in addressing inquiries concerning our testing. We'll address specific questions and share more detail on our methodology in a moment, but we first must respond directly to attempts to call our integrity into question.

For almost 16 years, we have tested products for our clients because they trust our integrity. We have worked not just for any one company but for dozens of the leading technology firms, including rivals such as Intel and AMD, Microsoft and Google, Dell and HP, and many others.

Those clients trust PT in part because our integrity and our technical knowledge are beyond reproach. We work hard to be the best in both of those areas. We chose our company name to emphasize our commitments to both technology and our principles. (And, accusers saying we are only in this for the money obviously haven't read our book, *Limit Your Greed!*)

Before going further, we thus must categorically deny any dishonesty in our work on this project for Intel or in any of our other projects.

Now that we've gotten that off our chests, let's address the specific questions from recent videos as well as subsequent posts and tweets.

Project overview

An overview of the project will provide useful context.

Our overall goal – and Intel's specific request for this project – was to create as level a playing field as possible for comparing the AMD and Intel processors as the majority of the gaming market would likely use them. To do that, we built and configured 16 systems for this comparative testing; we had two of each processor/motherboard configuration. We matched all components where possible, the only variances being the motherboards, CPUs, and CPU coolers. (Full details are in our [interim report](#).)

In an effort to be very transparent, we published our interim summary report on Oct 8, 2018. We will continue to be transparent and responsive to any questions.

Responses to inquiries

We have received a number of inquiries regarding the testing methodology we used and the potential for bias in favor of Intel. We are providing additional information to be as transparent as possible and to help allay these concerns.

The following list summarizes many of the inquiries we have received and our responses. (We are continuing to work on addressing additional inquiries.)

- Use of "Game Mode" on the AMD Ryzen™ 7 2700X: Some inquiries we have received concern the use of the Ryzen utility and the number of active cores in the AMD-based systems. Based on AMD's recommendations and our initial testing on the Threadripper processors, we found installing the AMD Ryzen Master utility and enabling the Game Mode increased most results. For consistency purposes, we did that for all AMD systems across Threadripper™ and Ryzen™. We are now doing additional testing with the AMD systems in Creator Mode. We will update the report with the new results.
- Cooler choice: We chose Noctua for the CPU coolers, due to having almost identical systems in the NH-U14S (Intel) and NH-U14S TR4-SP3 (AMD), which allowed us to maintain a comparable thermal profile. Because we were not performing any overclocking on any configuration, and because AMD has said it

was a good cooler, we stuck with the stock AMD Ryzen™ 7 2700X Wraith Prism cooler.

- Memory speeds: To have complete parity across all systems, and to allow the Intel® Core™ i9 X-series and AMD Ryzen™ Threadripper™ to fully utilize memory bandwidth, we used 4 16GB DDR4 DIMMs on all configurations. We took the following memory configuration steps:

Intel:

- MSI Z390-A Pro motherboard (i9-9900K)
 - Load Optimized BIOS defaults
 - Enabled: Extreme Memory Profile (X.M.P.)
 - DRAM Frequency set to DDR4-2666
- Asus Prime X299-Deluxe motherboard (i9-9900X, i9-9980XE)
 - Load Optimized BIOS defaults
 - Enabled: Extreme Memory Profile (X.M.P.)
 - Disabled ASUS MultiCore Enhancement to use stock intel multicore settings
 - DRAM Frequency set to DDR4-2666
 - Installed Intel® Turbo Boost Max driver/utility
- Asus Prime Z370-A (i7-8086K, i7-8700K)
 - Load Optimized BIOS defaults
 - Enabled: Extreme Memory Profile (X.M.P.)
 - Disabled ASUS MultiCore Enhancement to use stock intel multicore settings
 - DRAM Frequency set to DDR4-2666
 - Power saving & Performance mode, set to Performance

AMD:

- Asus Prime X399-A (Threadripper™ 2990WX, Threadripper™ 2950X)
 - Load Optimized BIOS defaults
 - Verify that D.O.C.P is selected for AMD-equivalent memory settings to XMP
 - Performance Enhancer, set to Default
 - Disabled overclocking enhancement
 - DRAM frequency set to DDR4-2933
 - Set Core Performance Boost to Auto
 - Set performance bias to None
 - Installed Ryzen Master utility
- Asus Prime X470 Pro (Ryzen™ 7 2700X)
 - Load Optimized BIOS defaults

- *Verify that D.O.C.P is selected for AMD-equivalent memory settings to XMP*
 - *DRAM frequency set to DDR4-2933*
 - *Set performance bias to None*
 - *Installed Ryzen Master utility*
- Resolution settings: One goal of this study was to test the CPUs and their graphics subsystems, not the GPUs, so we ran the tests at the most common gaming resolution (62.06%), 1920x1080, according to the Steam Hardware Survey: <https://store.steampowered.com/hwsurvey/Steam-Hardware-Software-Survey-Welcome-to-Steam>. This allowed us to minimize any GPU-based bottlenecks on the rendering pipeline.
 - Quality settings: We configured all games to use the “High” or equivalent preset, versus “Ultra” or other presets, also to emphasize CPU over GPU performance. In the case where there were only three presets, we chose the top preset.
 - Clarification of various installation questions: We installed all games using Steam or the Microsoft Store, and fully updated with the latest patches.
 - Motherboards: Re a Twitter comment from Cyber Cat @0xCats, “Hey @AMD Apparently according to @PrincipledTech @Intel is able to run Ryzen™ & Threadripper™ Chips on Z370 and Z390”: Thanks for catching that copy/paste error in our configuration info. We made an error there. The correct processor/motherboard/BIOS version specs for the AMD procs we tested are the following: Ryzen™ 7 2700X/ASUS PRIME X470-PRO/4024 and Threadripper™ 2950X & 2990WX/ASUS PRIME X399-A/0807. We apologize for the error and will post a revised version (with changes noted) soon.

Because our goal is always to do the right thing and get the answers right, we are currently doing additional testing. We will share that data and will certainly call out if something is significantly different from what we’ve already published.

We are confident in our test methodology and results. We welcome questions and we are doing our best to respond to questions from our interim report, but doing so takes time. We will add responses if other issues come up.

Thanks for listening.

Bill Catchings, co-founder of Principled Technologies